March 19, 2001

D037019 In re Jackson on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D036024 People v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Preciado

Accordingly, we grant the People's petition and direct that the trial court vacate its order dismissing the People's petition. CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION. Benke, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., Nares, J.

D037294 Goudy v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Skene

The petition is denied.

D034520 People v. Bailey

Affirmed. Haller, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., Huffman, J.

D037291 In re Kevin James S., a Juvenile

The appeal is dismissed pursuant to rule 17(a).

D036664 In re ANGEL M. et al., Juveniles

The judgments are affirmed. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., McIntyre, J.

D037424 Fame v. Davis et al.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 10(c), the appeal filed January 30, 2001, is dismissed for appellant's failure to timely designate the record (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 4(a) and 5(a)).

D036450 Lifetime Memory Products, Inc. v. Century Indemnity Company et al.

The court's order on defendants' motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict is affirmed in part and reversed in part. The portion of the order granting judgment notwithstanding the verdict as to the punitive damages and attorney's fees is affirmed. The portion of the order denying judgment notwithstanding the verdict as to the remainder of the judgment is reversed. The judgment is vacated. The court is directed to issue a new order granting defendants' motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict in its entirety and to enter judgment accordingly. Plaintiff to pay costs on appeal. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Huffman, J.

D036520 Dosanjh et al. v. Disneyland, Inc. et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Appellant to pay costs on appeal. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., McIntyre, J.

D037332 In re Oscar V. et al., Juveniles

The attorney for petitioner Leticia C. has notified the court on behalf of the petitioner that a Petition for Writ of Mandate under rule 39.1B will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is dismissed.

March 19, 2001 (Continued)

D037404 In re Tabitha L., a Juvenile

The attorney for petitioner Punefuolemotu L. has notified the court on behalf of the petitioner that a Petition for Writ of Mandate under Rule 39.1B will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is dismissed.

D035917 In re Amaya S., a Juvenile

D036175 In re Demetrius D. et al., Juveniles

D037120 In re Charles W., a Juvenile

The pending petition for writ of habeas corpus, In re Charles W., D037120, is consolidated with the pending appeal, In re Amaya S., D035917 for disposition.

D035917 In re Amaya S., a Juvenile

D036175 In re Demetrius D. et al., Juveniles

D037120 In re Charles W., a Juvenile

The order denying Cassandra's section 388 petition is affirmed. The orders selecting adoption as the permanent plan for William, Charles, Ashley and Amaya and terminating parental rights as to those children are affirmed. Charles's petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. McIntyre, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., McDonald, J.

D037280 Shanker, Inc. et al. v. Superior Court of Imperial County/Ocwen Federal Bank, FSB The petition is denied.

D035857 People v. Crite

Judgment affirmed. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., Huffman, J.

D035586 People v. Sandoval

Judgment affirmed. Kremer, P.J.; We Concur: Huffman, J., O'Rourke, J.

D037091 In re Romero on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D037032 In re Austin on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D036513 Luvonne International Marketing Corp. et al, v. LaBlonde

The order granting LaBlonde's motion to quash service of process is reversed. Plaintiffs to recover their costs on appeal. Haller, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., McDondal, J.

D037373 Deutsch v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Moore et al.

The petition is denied.

March 19, 2001 (Continued)

D035435 Ragen v. Peterson

Summary judgment is reversed. The protective order, dated August 23, 1999, is reversed except as to evidence pertaining solely to legal conclusions concerning the meaning of the CC & R's. Ragen to bear costs on appeal. Haller, J., Acting P.J.; I Concur: O'Rourke, J., I Dissent: Nares, J (by opinion)

D037037 In re Espinoza on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D037037 Roosenberg v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board and Tri-City Medical Center et al.

The petition is denied as premature.

D036635 In re P.M., a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed. Kremer, P.J.; We Concur: Benke, J., Nares, J.

March 20, 2001

D035501 In re Donald Leo W., III, a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., McIntyre, J.

D036212 People v. Compher

Judgment affirmed. Nares, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., McIntyre, J.

D036250 In re Vanessa D. et al., Juveniles

Order affirmed. Kremer, P.J.; We Concur: Benke, J., McDonald, J.

D036854 Singh et al. v. Graham et al., Indvidually and as Trustee, etc.

The judgment is reversed to the extent that it failed to order the Singhs to reconvey the R.V. park deeds of trust upon entry of judgment in Singhs' favor. The court is ordered to enter a new judgment providing for such a reconveyance. In all other respects the judgment is affirmed. Appellants to recover their costs on appeal. Nares, Acting P.J., We Concur: McDonald, J., O'Rourke, J.

D034618 People v. Thogmartin

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., O'Rourke, J.

D034688 People v. Lopez

The judgment is affirmed. Nares, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., O'Rourke, J.

D035558 Achoy v. Hung

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, J.; We Concur: Kremer, J., Nares, J.

D035578 In re Leslie O., a Juvenile

The juvenile court's order suspending Leslie's privilege to drive for a year is affirmed. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., Huffman, J.

D034522 Smith v. Key et al.

The judgment is reversed and the matter is remanded to the superior court, which is directed to dismiss the action with prejudice. The remittitur shall issue immediately. Each party shall bear its own costs on appeal. Haller, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., Nares, J.

D037018 Margala v. Murdock et al.

The notices of appeals, filed November 30, 2000 and December 19, 2000, are dismissed.

March 21, 2001

D037405 In re Gabriela M., a Juvenile

The attorney for petitioner Walter M. has notified the court on behalf of the petitioner that a Petition for Writ of Mandate under Rule 39.1B will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is dismissed.

D036886 In re the Marriage of Arya

Appellant has failed to file a brief after notice given pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 17(a). The appeal is dismissed.

D037165 Holiday v. Superior Court of San Diego County/The People

The petition is denied.

D037502 Floyd et al. v. Superior Court of Imperial County/Valley Independent Bank et al. The petition is denied.

The petition is defined.

D035367 People v. Knight

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Nares, J.

D035200 People v. Jackson

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, J.; We Concur: McDonald, J., O'Rourke, J.

D037584 City of San Diego v. Superior Court of the County of San Diego/Border Business Park Inc.

Real party in interest is to file an informal response to the petition within ten days of the date of this order. The response should address, but is not limited to, the following questions.

- 1. Did Judge DiFiglia's response to the City of San Diego's statement of disqualification result in his disqualification under Code of Civil Procedure section 170.3(c)(4) or another statute?
- 2. Assuming the trial court judge was disqualified on his recusal or by not consenting or answering the statement of disqualification within 10 days, what is the legal effect of the judge's disqualification on the rulings and orders made before the filing of the statement of disqualification? Counsel's attention is directed to Code of Civil Procedures section 170.3(b)(4) and 170.4(c)(1).
- 3. Is the effect of disqualification of the trial judge on prior orders or rulings more appropriately considered in procedures, including a motion for new trial, other than the procedures contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 170.1 et seq.?

Petitioner may file a reply to the informal response within ten days after real party in interest's filing with this court.

March 22, 2001

D034746 People v. Ford

We direct the superior court to amend the abstract of judgment to show that two prison priors under section 667.5, subdivision (b), have been stricken and to forward an amended abstract of judgment to the Department of Corrections. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Nares, Acting P.J., McDonald, J.

D037099 Opus One et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Gildred & Associates, Inc. The petition is denied.

D035761 People v. Vaques

The petition for rehearing is denied.

D037384 Pateman v. Superior Court of San Diego/People

The petition is denied.

D037206 In re Brim on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied without prejudice to refiling after consideration by the superior court.

D037500 Baumer v. Superior Court of San Diego County/People

The petition is denied.

D034653 Gonzales v. Gerwend Enterprises et al.

The judgment is affirmed as to Gonzales's causes of action for breach of implied-in-fact contract, intentional and negligent infliction of emotional distress, employment discrimination and specific performance and as to his claims against Aban and Pizzaro individually. As to Gonzales's causes of action for breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing and fraud, the judgment is reversed. Gonzales shall recover his costs on appeal. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Nares, Acting P.J., McIntyre, J.

D037446 In re the Marriage of Strickland

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 10c, the appeal filed November 27, 2000, is dismissed for appellant's failure to timely designate the record (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 4(a) and 5(a)).

D037497 Rancho Cielo Development etc. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Lindsey et al.

The petition is denied.

D035723 In re Mateo S., a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., McDonald, J.

D036508 Randall v. Scovis et al.

The petition for rehearing is denied.

March 22, 2001 (continued)

D036303 In re Michael, R., a Juvenile

Judgment affirmed. Haller, J.; We Concur: Nares, Acting P.J., McDonald, J.

D035217 Surf & Sand Motel, LP et al. v. Aries Capital, Inc.

The judgment is affirmed. Appellant to pay costs on appeal. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Nares, J.

D036507 People v. Wilkens

We reverse the true findings of the three serious and violent felonies committed when Wilkens was a juvenile. We affirm the judgment in all other respects. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Nares, J.

D035647 AFJR Partnership et al. v. City of San Diego et al.

The appeal is dismissed.

D037640 Jones v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Carr

The petition is denied.

March 23, 2001

D037104 In re Foster on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D036453 In re Breonna T., a Juvenile

The request for publication of the opinion is denied.

D036164 San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency v. Kelly F.

The opinion filed on February 28, 2001, is modified as follows:

On page 2, line 16, the quotation marks are deleted so the line reads:

are not analogous to criminal proceedings. (Conservatorship of Susan T. (1994) 8 Cal.4th 1005, 1015.) There is no change in judgment.

The petition for rehearing is denied.

D037095 In re Masters on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D037215 In re Consiglio on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D037212 In re De Ocampo on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D037589 G&B Emporia Inc. et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Mercury Books, Inc.

The petition is denied.

D036034 Valentino et al. v. Franchise Tax Board

The judgment is affirmed. CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION. Work, Acting P.J.; We Concur: Huffman, J., Haller, J.

D035138 Martin v. Joyner, as Trustee, etc.

The order is affirmed. Work, Acting P.J.; We Concur: Huffman, J., Haller, J.

D036638 In re Kristen F., a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed. McIntrye, J.; We Concur: Nares, Acting P.J., Haller, J.

D036871 In re Shanae W., a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Nares, J.

D034948 People v. Weise et al.

The superior court's refusal to grant the People's motion under section 871.5 was erroneous. The superior court's order is reversed and it is directed to return the case to the magistrate with orders to resume the proceedings within 10 days pursuant to section 871.5, subdivision (e). CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION. Benke, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McIntyre, J, O'Rourke, J.

March 23, 2001 (Continued)

D037615 Laguardia v. Tamura

The petition is denied.

D035119 Triprism, Inc. v. Dellastatious et al.

The petition for rehearing is denied.

D036829 Elisalda v. Univision Network Limited Partnership

The judgment is affirmed. Univision is awarded costs on appeal. Nares, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McDonald, J., O'Rourke, J.

D034959 Braunstein v. Apple Computer, Inc.

The December 1999 order is affirmed. The March 2000 order is affirmed in part and reversed in part, consistent with this opinion. Apple's request for an award of reasonable attorney fees on appeal is remanded to the trial court for a determination of both entitlement and amount. Nares, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McDonald, J., O'Rourke, J.

D035158 National City Police Officers' Association v. City of National City

The opinion, filed March 2, 2001, is ordered certified for publication.

D034848 In re Marriage of Titan and Freeman

The judgment and orders are affirmed. Work, J.; We Concur: Kremer, P.J., Haller, J.